

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/478,370	02/16/2000	KIICHI HAMA	7363.0010	1598
7	590 12/31/2002			
FINNEGAN :	HENDERSON FAR.	EXAMINER		
GARRETT & DUNNER LLP 1300 I STREET N W			ALEJANDRO MULERO, LUZ L	
WASHINGTO	N, DC 200053315		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	

1763

DATE MAILED: 12/31/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				9)			
		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		09/478,370	HAMA ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examin r	Art Unit				
		Luz L. Alejandro	1763				
Period fo	Th MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with th	e correspondence addr ss				
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu - Any I	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reper period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statutively received by the Office later than three months after the mailing patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply b oly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS f e, cause the application to become ABANDC	e timely filed days will be considered timely. rom the mailing date of this communicat NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ion.			
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18	October 2002 .					
2a) <u></u>	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ T	his action is non-final.					
3) Disposit	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims						
·	Claim(s) <u>1-167</u> is/are pending in the applicati	on					
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra						
	Claim(s) <u>165 and 167</u> is/are allowed.	awii iroin consideration.					
	Claim(s) <u>1-8,10-24,26-53,55-76,78-90,92-108</u>	2 110 126 128 153 155 164 and	1 166 is/are rejected				
·	, ,		1700 Israie rejected.				
· <u> </u>	Claim(s) 9,25,54,77,91,109,127 and 154 is/ar						
•	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/oion Papers	or election requirement.					
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10)[The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce	epted or b) objected to by the E	xaminer.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) 🗌	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	xaminer.					
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11	9(a)-(d) or (f).				
a)[☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documen	ts have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documen	ts have been received in Applic	ation No				
* 0	3. Copies of the certified copies of the price application from the International Bushee the attached detailed Office action for a list	ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	•				
_	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest			ation).			
) \square The translation of the foreign language process. Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes	T T					
Attachmen	t(s)						
2) 🔲 Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) [,] nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _	· —	nary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) al Patent Application (PTO-152)				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01)

Art Unit: 1763

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 10-18-02 has been entered.

Claim Objections

Claim 40 is objected to because of the following informalities: at line 3, the phrase "at the" is repeated. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim 59 is objected to because of the following informalities: at line 2, before "made", -- is – should be inserted for proper grammar. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

⁽e) the invention was described in-

⁽¹⁾ an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effect under this subsection of a national application published under section 122(b) only if the international application designating the United States was published under Article 21(2)(a) of such treaty in the English language; or

Art Unit: 1763

(2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that a patent shall not be deemed filed in the United States for the purposes of this subsection based on the filing of an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a).

Claims 37-38, 44-45, 48, 56, 62-63, 100-101, 104, 111, 117-118, 138-139, 144-145, 148, 156, and 162-163 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Tobin et al., U.S. Patent 5,619,103.

Tobin et al. shows the invention as claimed including an apparatus 210 for processing a substrate in a plasma, for example, in a deposition process (see col. 1lines 34-35) comprising: a container; a dielectric window 204 supported by said container and dividing said container into a first container portion 90 and a second container portion 212; first exhaust means for creating a first vacuum in said first container portion; second vacuum means for creating a second vacuum in said second container portion; one of said first and second exhaust means including a vacuum pump; a controller 214 for controlling at least one of said first and second exhaust means or said vacuum pump in order to control a differential pressure across said window at a minimum value, the controller also controlling based upon a pressure in the first container; a table 96 arranged in said first container portion for supporting the substrate; a first supply 92 for supplying a process gas to said first container portion; a planar spiral coil 200 arranged in said second container portion; and a voltage supply (86,88) to said planar spiral coil 200 for generating an electromagnetic field whereby generation of said plasma is induced in said first container portion (see fig. 16 and col. 12-line 10 to col. 13-line 15).

Art Unit: 1763

Regarding a second supply for supplying an auxiliary gas to said second container portion, such a supply is inherent because gas must be present within the auxiliary chamber otherwise the exhaust means would not be necessary. Furthermore, note that fig. 16 shows that the diameters of the first and the second containers are substantially the same (claim 100).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Art Unit: 1763

Claims 39-43, 46, 64-68, 70-71, 75, 79, 102, 140-143, and 146 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tobin et al., U.S. Patent 5,619,103 in view of Yoder, U.S. Patent 5,225,366.

Tobin et al. is applied as above but fails to disclose a controller for controlling the second exhaust means to control the pressure differential, wherein said second exhaust means is controllable according to an amount of the auxiliary gas supplied by said second supply to control the pressure differential across said window at the minimum value, and wherein said second exhaust means includes an exhaust pump controllable to control the pressure differential across said window at the minimum value. Yoder discloses an apparatus in which a programmable controller 34 is used to control the operation of exhaust pumps 50, 56, 38 and the exhaust means 52, 58, 40 (see fig. 1 and col. 6-line 50 to col. 8-line 46). In view of this disclosure, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the apparatus of Tobin et al. so as to include a controller which is used to control the exhaust means and the exhaust pump in order to improve the efficiency and yield of the process being conducted within the apparatus and to maintain a preferred pressure range.

With respect claim 75, the examiner takes official notice that it is well known in the art to use a shower head as a gas supply means in order to uniformly distribute the gas(es). Concerning, claim 71, such a thickness would be optimized during routine experimentation based upon a variety of factors. For example, the dielectric window should be made thicker if a greater degree of pressure differential between the process

Art Unit: 1763

and auxiliary chambers is required or thinner if a smaller difference between the chambers is required. Absent a showing of unexpected results, the particular thickness of the dielectric window would not render the instant application patentable.

Claims 47, 49, 55, 85, 87, 92-93, 99, 103, 105, 110, 120-121, 123, 128-129, 135, 147, 149, and 155 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tobin et al., U.S. Patent 5,619,103 in view of Cuomo et al., U.S. Patent 5,280,154.

Tobin et al. is applied as above but fails to expressly disclose the container being formed of a conductive material, grounding means for grounding the container, and a lower electrode arranged in the work table and a power supply for applying a high frequency potential to the lower electrode. Cuomo et al. discloses a container substantially formed of a conductive material (see col. 4-lines 3-5), grounding means for grounding said container (see col. 4-lines 60-64), and a lower electrode arranged in the work table and a power supply for applying a high frequency potential to the lower electrode (see figure 1 and col. 4-lines 32-35). In view of this disclosure, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the apparatus of Tobin et al. so as to include a container of conductive material, grounding means to ground the container, and a lower electrode in the work table with a power supply for applying a high frequency potential to the lower electrode because providing a lower electrode arranged in the work table and a high frequency potential increases attraction of the plasma to the work piece, thus increasing processing

Art Unit: 1763

efficiency, forming the container of a conductive material is a suitable material, and grounding the container allows for the dissipation of the charged particles which reduces damage to the chamber sidewalls.

Claims 50-51, 106-107 and 150-151 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tobin et al., U.S. Patent 5,619,103 in view of Benzing et al., U.S. Patent 5,346,578.

Tobin et al. is applied as above but fails to expressly disclose a cooler, for controlling the temperature of the coil, having a coolant flow passage. Benzing et al. discloses a plasma apparatus in which cooling means 440,442,444,446 are used to cool the coil (see figure 5 and col. 5-lines 17-23). In view of this disclosure, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the apparatus of Tobin et al. so as to include cooling means for cooling the coil as to maintain the temperature within a desired value.

Claims 52, 71, 119, 152, and 164 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tobin et al., U.S. Patent 5,619,103.

Tobin et al. is applied as above but fails to disclose wherein said second gas supply comprising a shower head and the particular thickness of the dielectric window. With respect to the second gas supply comprising a shower head, the examiner takes official notice that it is well known in the art to use a shower head as a gas supply means in order to uniformly distribute the gas(es). Concerning the thickness of the

Art Unit: 1763

dielectric window, such a thickness would be optimized during routine experimentation based upon a variety of factors. For example, the dielectric window should be made thicker if a greater degree of pressure differential between the process and auxiliary chambers is required or thinner if a smaller difference between the chambers is required. Absent a showing of unexpected results, the particular thickness of the dielectric window would not render the instant application patentable.

Claims 53, 108 and 153 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tobin et al., U.S. Patent 5,619,103 in view of Ogle, U.S. Patent 4,948,458.

Tobin et al. is applied as above but fails to expressly disclose a seat which supports the coil. Ogle discloses a plasma apparatus in which a coil 20 is supported by port 14 (see figures 1-2, and col. 5-lines 41-42). In view of this disclosure, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the apparatus of Tobin et al. to further comprise a seat on the dielectric plate in order to arrange and support the coil.

Claims 57-61, 112-116 and 157-161 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tobin et al., U.S. Patent 5,619,103 in view of Itoh, U.S. Patent 4,817,558.

Tobin et al. is applied as above but fails to expressly disclose the claimed first and second gas supply members for supplying first and second gases, respectively.

Art Unit: 1763

Itoh discloses an apparatus wherein different process gases are introduced into the processing chamber through two different gas introduction ports (a first gas supply port 5b and a second gas supply port 5a) for independently controlling the introduction of each gas to the processing chamber and the flow rate of each gas (see figure 1, col. 3, lines 58-66, and col. 5, lines 12-18 and 32-39). In view of this disclosure, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the apparatus of Tobin et al. as to comprise first and second gas introduction ports, as taught by the Itoh reference, as to independently control the introduction of the gases into the chamber and their respective flow rates. Official notice was previously taken that gas supply ports made of a dielectric material are commonly used in the art because of their good stability under high temperature environments. Since such official notice has not been challenged, this is taken to be admitted prior art.

Claims 1-3, 5, 10-11, 17-19, 21, 26-27, 33-36, 69, 71-72, 78, 86, 136-137, and 166 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tobin et al., U.S. Patent 5,619,103 in view of Yoder, U.S. Patent 5,225,366, as applied to claims 39-43, 46, 64-68, 70-71, 75, 79, 102, 140-143, and 146 above, and further in view of Cuomo et al., U.S. Patent 5,280,154.

Tobin et al. and Yoder are applied as above but fail to expressly disclose the container being formed of a conductive material, grounding means for grounding the container, and a lower electrode arranged in the work table and a power supply for applying a high frequency potential to the lower electrode. Cuomo et al. discloses a

Art Unit: 1763

container substantially formed of a conductive material (see col. 4-lines 3-5), grounding means for grounding said container (see col. 4-lines 60-64), and a lower electrode arranged in the work table and a power supply for applying a high frequency potential to the lower electrode (see figure 1 and col. 4-lines 32-35). In view of this disclosure, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the apparatus of Tobin et al. modified by Yoder so as to include a container of conductive material, grounding means to ground the container, and a lower electrode in the work table with a power supply for applying a high frequency potential to the lower electrode because providing a lower electrode arranged in the work table and a high frequency potential increases attraction of the plasma to the work piece, thus increasing processing efficiency, forming the container of a conductive material is a suitable material, and grounding the container allows for the dissipation of the charged particles which reduces damage to the chamber sidewalls.

Claims 73-74 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tobin et al., U.S. Patent 5,619,103 in view of Yoder, U.S. Patent 5,225,366, as applied to claims 39-43, 46, 64-68, 70-71, 75, 79, 102, 140-143, and 146 above, and further in view of Benzing et al., U.S. Patent 5,346,578.

Tobin et al. and Yoder are applied as above but fail to expressly disclose a cooler, for controlling the temperature of the coil, having a coolant flow passage.

Benzing et al. discloses a plasma apparatus in which cooling means 440,442,444,446 are used to cool the coil (see figure 5 and col. 5-lines 17-23). In view of this disclosure,

Art Unit: 1763

it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the apparatus of Tobin et al. modified by Yoder so as to include cooling means for cooling the coil as to maintain the temperature within a desired value.

Claim 76 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tobin et al., U.S. Patent 5,619,103 in view of Yoder, U.S. Patent 5,225,366, as applied to claims 39-43, 46, 64-68, 70-71, 75, 79, 102, 140-143, and 146 above, and further in view of Ogle, U.S. Patent 4,948,458.

Tobin et al. and Yoder are applied as above but fail to expressly disclose a seat which supports the coil. Ogle discloses a plasma apparatus in which a coil 20 is supported by port 14 (see figures 1-2, and col. 5-lines 41-42). In view of this disclosure, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the apparatus of Tobin et al. modified by Yoder to further comprise a seat on the dielectric plate in order to arrange and support the coil.

Claims 80-84 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tobin et al., U.S. Patent 5,619,103 in view of Yoder, U.S. Patent 5,225,366, as applied to claims 39-43, 46, 64-68, 70-71, 75, 79, 102, 140-143, and 146 above, and further in view of Itoh, U.S. Patent 4,817,558.

Tobin et al. and Yoder are applied as above but fail to expressly disclose the claimed first and second gas supply members for supplying first and second gases, respectively. Itoh discloses an apparatus wherein different process gases are

Art Unit: 1763

introduced into the processing chamber through two different gas introduction ports (a first gas supply port 5b and a second gas supply port 5a) for independently controlling the introduction of each gas to the processing chamber and the flow rate of each gas (see figure 1, col. 3, lines 58-66, and col. 5, lines 12-18 and 32-39). In view of this disclosure, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the apparatus of Tobin et al. modified by Yoder as to comprise first and second gas introduction ports, as taught by the Itoh reference, as to independently control the introduction of the gases into the chamber and their respective flow rates. Official notice was previously taken that gas supply ports made of a dielectric material are commonly used in the art because of their good stability under high temperature environments. Since such official notice has not been challenged, this is taken to be admitted prior art.

Claims 4, 6-7, 20, 22-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tobin et al., U.S. Patent 5,619,103 in view of Yoder, U.S. Patent 5,225,366 and further in view of Cuomo et al., U.S. Patent 5,280,154, as applied to claims 1-3, 5, 10-11, 17-19, 21, 26-27, 33-36, 69, 71-72, 78, 86, 136-137, and 166, above, and further in view of Benzing et al., U.S. Patent 5,346,578.

Tobin et al., Yoder, and Cuomo et al. are applied as above but fail to expressly disclose a cooler, for controlling the temperature of the coil, having a coolant flow passage. Benzing et al. discloses a plasma apparatus in which cooling means 440,442,444,446 are used to cool the coil (see figure 5 and col. 5-lines 17-23). In view

Art Unit: 1763

of this disclosure, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the apparatus of Tobin et al. modified by Yoder and Cuomo et al. so as to include cooling means for cooling the coil as to maintain the temperature within a desired value.

Claims 12-16, 28-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tobin et al., U.S. Patent 5,619,103 in view of Yoder, U.S. Patent 5,225,366, and further in view of Cuomo et al., U.S. Patent 5,280,154 as applied to claims 1-3, 5, 10-11, 17-19, 21, 26-27, 33-36, 69, 71-72, 78, 86, 136-137, and 166, above, and further in view of Itoh, U.S. Patent 4,817,558.

Tobin et al., Yoder and Cuomo et al. are applied as above but fail to expressly disclose the claimed first and second gas supply members for supplying first and second gases, respectively. Itoh discloses an apparatus wherein different process gases are introduced into the processing chamber through two different gas introduction ports (a first gas supply port 5b and a second gas supply port 5a) for independently controlling the introduction of each gas to the processing chamber and the flow rate of each gas (see figure 1, col. 3, lines 58-66, and col. 5, lines 12-18 and 32-39). In view of this disclosure, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the apparatus of Tobin et al. modified by Yoder and Cuomo et al. as to comprise first and second gas introduction ports, as taught by the Itoh reference, as to independently control the introduction of the gases into the chamber and their respective flow rates. Official notice was previously taken that gas

Art Unit: 1763

supply ports made of a dielectric material are commonly used in the art because of their good stability under high temperature environments. Since such official notice has not been challenged, this is taken to be admitted prior art.

Claims 8, 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tobin et al., U.S. Patent 5,619,103 in view of Yoder, U.S. Patent 5,225,366 and further in view of Cuomo et al., U.S. Patent 5,280,154 as applied to claims 1-3, 5, 10-11, 17-19, 21, 26-27, 33-36, 69, 71-72, 78, 86, 136-137, and 166, above, and further in view of Ogle, U.S. Patent 4,948,458.

Tobin et al., Yoder and Cuomo et al. are applied as above but fail to expressly disclose a seat which supports the coil. Ogle discloses a plasma apparatus in which a coil 20 is supported by port 14 (see figures 1-2, and col. 5-lines 41-42). In view of this disclosure, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the apparatus of Tobin et al. modified by Yoder and Cuomo et al. to further comprise a seat on the dielectric plate in order to arrange and support the coil.

Claims 88-89, 122, and 124-125 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tobin et al., U.S. Patent 5,619,103 in view of Cuomo et al., U.S. Patent 5,280,154 as applied to claims 47, 49, 55, 85, 87, 92-93, 99, 103, 105, 110, 120-121, 123, 128-129, 135, 147, 149, and 155, above, and further in view of Benzing et al., U.S. Patent 5,346,578.

Art Unit: 1763

Tobin et al. and Cuomo et al. are applied as above but fail to expressly disclose a cooler, for controlling the temperature of the coil, having a coolant flow passage.

Benzing et al. discloses a plasma apparatus in which cooling means 440,442,444,446 are used to cool the coil (see figure 5 and col. 5-lines 17-23). In view of this disclosure, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the apparatus of Tobin et al. modified by Cuomo et al. so as to include cooling means for cooling the coil as to maintain the temperature within a desired value.

Claims 90 and 126 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tobin et al., U.S. Patent 5,619,103 in view of Cuomo et al., U.S. Patent 5,280,154 as applied to claims 47, 49, 55, 85, 87, 92-93, 99, 103, 105, 110, 120-121, 123, 128-129, 135, 147, 149, and 155, above, and further in view of Ogle, U.S. Patent 4,948,458.

Tobin et al. and Cuomo et al. are applied as above but fail to expressly disclose a seat which supports the coil. Ogle discloses a plasma apparatus in which a coil 20 is supported by port 14 (see figures 1-2, and col. 5-lines 41-42). In view of this disclosure, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the apparatus of Tobin et al. modified by Cuomo et al. to further comprise a seat on the dielectric plate in order to arrange and support the coil.

Claims 94-98 and 130-134 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tobin et al., U.S. Patent 5,619,103 in view of Cuomo et al., U.S.

Art Unit: 1763

Patent 5,280,154 as applied to claims 47, 49, 55, 85, 87, 92-93, 99, 103, 105, 110, 120-121, 123, 128-129, 135, 147, 149, and 155, above, and further in view of Itoh, U.S. Patent 4,817,558.

Tobin et al. and Cuomo et al. are applied as above but fail to expressly disclose the claimed first and second gas supply members for supplying first and second gases, respectively. Itoh discloses an apparatus wherein different process gases are introduced into the processing chamber through two different gas introduction ports (a first gas supply port 5b and a second gas supply port 5a) for independently controlling the introduction of each gas to the processing chamber and the flow rate of each gas (see figure 1, col. 3, lines 58-66, and col. 5, lines 12-18 and 32-39). In view of this disclosure, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the apparatus of Tobin et al. modified by Cuomo et al. as to comprise first and second gas introduction ports, as taught by the Itoh reference, as to independently control the introduction of the gases into the chamber and their respective flow rates. Official notice was previously taken that gas supply ports made of a dielectric material are commonly used in the art because of their good stability under high temperature environments. Since such official notice has not been challenged, this is taken to be admitted prior art.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 9, 25, 54, 77, 91, 109, 127, 154 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in

Art Unit: 1763

TITIOI NUITIBEL. 05/470,57

independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening

claims.

Claims 165 and 167 are allowed.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-167 have been considered but are

moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Luz L. Alejandro whose telephone number is 703-305-

4545. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Thursday from 7:30 to

6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Gregory L. Mills can be reached on 703-308-1633. The fax phone numbers

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9310

for regular communications and 703-872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or

proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-

0661.

Luz L. Alejandro Patent Examiner

Art Unit 1763

December 28, 2002